

TOWN TALKS HOUSING FAQ

Page 1 of 2

The Town of Danville is preparing an update to its **Housing Element** for the 2023-2031 planning period, in compliance with state housing law.

What is a Housing Element?

A state-mandated policy document that identifies where and how cities will accommodate existing and projected future housing needs for people of all income groups. As one of 7 elements of the Danville General Plan, it is required to be updated every eight years.

Why does Danville need to plan for more housing?

The need for every city and county in California to plan for their 'fair share' of the projected housing need is based on Housing Element Law, enacted in 1969 (Government Code Section 65583). The concept behind the law is that, in order for the private development market to adequately address housing demand, local governments must adopt housing plans that provide opportunities for – and not unduly constrain – housing development.

How much housing does Danville need to plan for?

The number of housing units each city and county must plan for is determined by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (State HCD) every eight years, referred to as housing 'cycles.' In the upcoming 6th cycle, Danville is currently expected to plan for a *minimum* of **2,241 housing units.**

How is Danville's housing assignment determined?

The California Departments of Finance (DOF) as well as the Housing and Community Development (HCD) forecasts the need for housing within each region based on population projections. This process is called the **Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA)**.

The regional planning agency for each region then must distribute the projected housing among its member local jurisdictions. Danville falls within the region governed by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG). For the 2023–2031 planning cycle, the State has determined that the Bay Area must plan for an additional 441,176 new units, of which Danville is expected to provide a minimum of 2,241 new homes.









Is Danville required to build the housing assigned?

Under current state law, cities are not required to build housing units. Housing construction is still driven by the private market. Instead, a city is required to ensure that sufficient lands are available to accommodate all assigned units 'by right.' To do so, cities must determine whether enough land is available to accommodate its RHNA assignment. If not, then the city is required to designate new sites for this purpose – usually through amending the General Plan and Zoning designation to allow for multifamily housing development.

What happens if a city does not adopt a housing element or if the housing element does not comply with state law?

The penalties for non-compliance have increased in scope and severity over the past few legislative cycles, and they currently include:

- Limited access to state funding, including transportation funding for local roadway maintenance and capital improvement projects;
- Court imposed fines of up to \$600,000 per month. The statute also allows the State to collect these fines by withholding state funding due to the city.
- Lawsuits: When community's housing element is found to be out of compliance, its General Plan is at risk of being deemed inadequate and therefore invalid, opening the possibility for lawsuits. Consequences of lawsuits include:
 - Court mandated compliance
 - Court suspension of local control on building matters, freezing the community's ability to issue building permits, zoning changes, etc.
 - Court approval of housing developments on behalf of the community
 - Attorney fees associated with the lawsuit

Over the past 20 years, cities and counties throughout the Bay Area (including Corte Madera, Pittsburg, Pleasanton, Alameda, Benicia, Fremont, Rohnert Park, Menlo Park, Napa County, and Santa Rosa) have faced legal challenges to the adequacy of their housing elements. In virtually every case, the city settled by amending their housing element and/or zoning ordinance to accommodate more housing and paid the plaintiffs' attorneys fees. Each of these cases were filed prior to the most recent amendments to the state housing law which make it exceedingly more difficult for cities to win such cases.



